



2003 ASAE/NAAA TECHNICAL SESSION

SPRAY MIX ADJUVANTS FOR SPRAY DRIFT MITIGATION

I. W. Kirk

Agricultural Research Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
College Station, Texas



Introduction

- Spray drift is a major issue for pesticide applicators
- Spray droplet size is the primary factor influencing drift
- Applicators should first concentrate on nozzle selection and operating conditions for controlling droplet size
- Drift control adjuvants are a secondary tool in controlling droplet size and spray drift



Introduction

- Drift control adjuvants have been available in the modern marketplace for several years
- There are no product labeling or efficacy regulations for drift control adjuvants
- Applicators must be judicious in selection and use of drift control adjuvants
 - Experience
 - Technical information



Introduction

- Spray droplet size or Droplet Spectra Classification (DSC) now has specific definition in both technical and regulatory language
- ASAE Standard S572 AUG99 defines DSC in six categories – VF, F, M, C, VC, and XC
- □ Regulatory and product label language may specify droplet size either as DSC or volume median diameter, D_{v0.5}, e.g., 300 µm



Objective

- Determine effectiveness of recently-introduced drift control adjuvants for typical aerial applications
- Bases of assessments
 - Increased droplet size
 - Reduction of fine droplet content
 - Resistance to pump shear degradation



Materials and Methods – Product Selection

- Twelve drift control adjuvants were selected for the study
 - Synthetic polymers
 - Natural polymers
 - Other agents
 - Some are liquid and some are dry formulations



Materials and Methods — Products Included in the Study

Airex DC Direct

Array * In-Place

Border EG 250 * Intac Plus

Cell-U-Wett * SanAg 41-A *

Control Strike Zone PPS *

Corral Poly Valid

* Dry formulations



Materials and Methods – EC Blank Spray Mix

- 90 % tap water
- 10 % EC Blank
 - □ 92 % Aromatic 150 (ExxonMobil Corporation)
 - □ 6.4 % Toximul 3453F (Stephan Company)
 - 1.6 % Toximul 3454F (Stephan Company)
- Maximum adjuvant label rate for aerial application mixed in accord with manufacturers directions with gentle agitation with a centrifugal pump



Materials and Methods – "Wind Tunnel"







Materials and Methods — "Wind Tunnel" Protocol

- □ PMS Laser Spectrometer
- □ Three replicates, scan through plume and size 12,000 to 18,000 droplets, immediately after mixing on the first pass through a gear pump
- □ Three replicates, scan through plume and size 12,000 to 18,000 droplets, after eight passes through a gear pump



Materials and Methods – Spray Nozzle -- CP-03





Materials and Methods – Operational Conditions

- □ CP-03 Spray Nozzle
 - 0.078 Orifice
 - 30° Deflector
- Pressure
 - 30 psi
- Airspeed
 - 140 mph



Results – First Pass Through Pump $D_{v0.5}$, EC Blank = 278 μ m k

| Adjuvant | D _{V0.5} , μm | Adjuvant | D _{V0.5} , μm | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Airex DC | 338 h | Direct | 368 f | |
| Array | 357 g | In-Place | 249 m | |
| Border EG 250 | 403 d | Intac Plus | 276 k | |
| Cell-U-Wett | 369 f | SanAg 41-A | 336 hi | |
| Control | 463 c | Strike Zone PPS | S 371 f | |
| Corral Poly | 529 a | Valid | 281 k | |

Results – First Pass Through Pump %<200 μ m, EC Blank = 12.4 % c

| Adjuvant | %<200μm | Adjuvant | %<200μm |
|----------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| Airex DC | 5.3 gh | Direct | 4.0 klm |
| Array | 5.0 hi | In-Place | 21.8 a |
| Border EG 250 | 3.5 m | Intac Plus | 13.1 c |
| Cell-U-Wett | 4.6 ijk | SanAg 41-A | 6.2 f |
| Control | 2.6 n | Strike Zone | PPS 4.5 ijkl |
| Corral Poly | 1.5 o | Valid | 11.6 d |

Results – DSC₁ and DSC₈, EC Blank = F First and Eighth Pass Through Pump

| Adjuvant | DSC ₁ | DSC ₈ | Adjuvant | DSC ₁ | DSC ₈ |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Airex DC | F | F | Direct | M | F |
| Array | M | M | In-Place | F | F |
| Border EG 250 | M | M | Intac Plus | F | F |
| Cell-U-Wett | M | M | SanAg 41-A | F | F |
| Control | M | M | Strike Zone PP | S M | M |
| Corral Poly | С | M | Valid | F | F |

Spray Mix Adjuvants for Spray Drift Mitigation Summary

- Corral Poly provided the largest droplet spectrum and the lowest percentage of driftable fine droplets; Control was second best in improving drift mitigation properties of the EC Blank spray mix
- Most of the adjuvants moved the droplet spectra classification from Fine to Medium
- In-Place, Intac Plus, and Valid were ineffective in improving drift mitigation properties of the EC Blank spray mix
- Four of the five dry-formulated adjuvants did not degrade from eight passes through a gear pump



Spray Mix Adjuvants for Spray Drift Mitigation Summary

- Drift control adjuvant performance information can aid pesticide applicators in selection of drift reducing agents
- □ The measure of spray drift mitigation attained with drift control adjuvants is a matter that applicators can balance or optimize based on agent performance and economics to achieve drift mitigation goals for a given application



U.S. Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Research Service
College Station, Texas



-- Time for Questions --



